

STATE RESEARCH CENTER OF RUSSIA INSTITUTE FOR HIGH ENERGY PHYSICS

IHEP 97-40

S.M.Klishevich

ON REDEFINITIONS OF VARIABLES IN GAUGE FIELD THEORY

Submitted to Theor. Mat. Fiz.

Protvino 1997

UDK 539.1.01 M-24

Abstract

Klishevich S.M. On Redefinitions of Variables in Gauge Field Theory: IHEP Preprint 97-40. – Protvino, 1997. – p. 13, refs.: 4.

In this paper, for massive fields of spins 2 and 3 with non-canonical Lagrangians, we build Hamiltonians and full systems of constraints and show that the use of derivatives in a redefinition of fields can give rise to a change of the number of physical degrees of freedom.

Аннотация

Клишевич С.М. О переопределении переменных в калибровочной теории поля: Препринт ИФВЭ 97-40. – Протвино, 1997. – 13 с., библиогр.: 4.

В данной работе для массивных полей со спинами 2 и 3 с неканоническими лагранжианами мы строим гамильтонианы и полные системы связей и показываем, что использование в переопределении полей производных может вызвать изменение числа физических степеней свободы.

© State Research Center of Russia Institute for High Energy Physics, 1997

Introduction

At constructing various kinds of field theory models it is often useful to redefine initial fields for the theory to be of simpler and more understandable form. Such substitutions of variables must not change physical contents of the model i.e. the number of physical degrees of freedom must remain the same as before the substitution. In this, of course, it is meant that a modification of Poincare group representations didn't happen i.e. for example, a massive vector field does not turn into three scalar fields. One has often to perform such type of redefinitions in theories, which describe a physical particle with some set of fields, see Ref. [1,2]. So, for instance, in [2] when describing massive spin-2 particle propagation in a homogeneous electromagnetic field the result independent of space-time dimensionality has been obtained using a redefinition of second rank field.

One can divide all substitutions of variables into two kinds. The first kind are the substitutions of variables without derivatives i.e. schematically $\Phi'_A = M_A^B \Phi_B + F_A^{BC} \Phi_B \Phi_C + \ldots$, where M_A^B is non-degenerate matrix. The second kind are substitutions with derivatives i.e. they have form $\Phi'_A = M_A^B \Phi_B + H_A^B \partial \Phi_B + \ldots$. In this paper using the case of free massive spin-3 field, we show that the number of physical degrees of freedom of the theory can change, if one uses derivatives in the redefinition of fields.

To begin with in Section 1 we consider the free massive spin-2 field that is described with a non-canonical Lagrangian¹ derived from the canonical form with the redefinition of the second rank field. We build a canonical Hamiltonian and a full system of constraints² (all the constraints are of the first kind). A simple calculation shows that the number of degrees of freedom remains the same at transition to the non-canonical form.

In Section 2 we are building a full system of constraints and canonical Hamiltonian for a non-canonical Lagrangian, which describes free massive spin-3 field and which is derived from the canonical form with the redefinition of fields without derivatives. In this case all the constraints are of the first kind and the number of degrees of freedom remains the same as in the canonical case.

¹We call a Lagrangian of free massive spin-s field as canonical, if it breaks into the sum of Lagrangians for massless fields of spins s, s - 1, ..., 0 in the massless limit, see [2].

²Describing systems with constraints, we use standard Dirac procedure, ref. [3,4].

In Section 3 we consider the field of spin 3 in the non-canonical form that has been obtained from the canonical one with a redefinition of fields with the use of the derivative. Building a Hamiltonian and full systems of constraints, we show that in this case the number of field degrees of freedom increases. In this the constraints of the second kind are present in a full system.

1. Free Massive Field with spin 2

At first, let us consider the spin-2 field to compare with the case of spin-3 field.

We consider the flat Minkowski space \mathbf{M}^4 with metric signature (1, -1, -1, -1). Latin indices take the value $k, l, \ldots = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and the Greek ones — the value $\alpha, \beta, \ldots = 1, 2, 3$. For convenience we will not make difference between upper and lower indices, while the summation over the repeated indices will be understood, as usual, i.e.

$$A_{k...}B_{k...} \equiv g^{kl}A_{k...}B_{l...}$$

We will describe the free massive field of spin 2 with the gauge invariant Lagrangian of type

$$\mathcal{L}_{0} = \partial_{m}\bar{h}_{kl}\partial_{m}h_{kl} - 2\partial_{k}h_{kl}\partial_{m}\bar{h}_{lm} + \left(\partial_{k}h_{kl}\partial_{l}\bar{h} + h.c.\right) - \partial_{k}\bar{h}\partial_{k}h
+ 2\left(\partial_{k}\bar{h}_{kl}\partial_{l}\varphi - \partial_{l}\bar{h}\partial_{l}\varphi + h.c.\right) - 2\left(\partial_{l}\bar{b}_{k}\partial_{l}b_{k} - \partial_{l}b_{k}\partial_{k}\bar{b}_{l}\right)
+ 2m\left(\partial_{l}\bar{b}_{k}h_{kl} - \partial_{k}\bar{b}_{k}h + h.c.\right) - m^{2}\left(\bar{h}_{kl}h_{kl} - \bar{h}h\right),$$
(1)

where h_{kl} is a symmetrical tensor and $h = g^{kl}h_{kl}$.

The gauge transformations of the fields have the following form:

$$\delta h_{kl} = 2\partial_{(k}\xi_{l)},
\delta b_{k} = \partial_{k}\eta + m\xi_{k},
\delta \varphi = m\eta.$$
(2)

Lagrangian (1) has been chosen in a non-canonical form (with the off-diagonal kinetic part) in order that the Goldstone part (proportional to mass) for the field h_{kl} be absent in the transformations. The transformations for h has the form $\delta h_{kl} = 2\partial_{(k}\xi_{l)} + mg_{kl}\eta$, where g_{kl} is the metrical tensor, in the canonical form with the same normalization of fields. Therefore, to pass to Lagrangian (1) and transformations (2) one need do the following substitution of variables $h'_{kl} \to h_{kl} - g_{kl}\varphi$.

Further on, for convenience, we put m = 1.

Passing from Lagrangian (1) to the Hamiltonian formalism, we get the following five constraints calculating the momenta

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\stackrel{(1)}{C}{}_{\alpha}^{h} & = p_{\alpha 0}^{h} - \partial_{\alpha} h_{\beta \beta} + 2 \partial_{\beta} h_{\alpha \beta} + 2 \partial_{\alpha} \varphi + \partial_{\alpha} h_{00}, \\
\stackrel{(1)}{C}{}^{h} & = p_{00}^{h} - \partial_{\alpha} h_{\alpha 0}, \\
\stackrel{(1)}{C}{}^{b} & = p_{0}^{b} - 2 h_{\alpha \alpha}.
\end{array} \tag{3}$$

Let us define the Poisson brackets in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
\{h^{\alpha\beta}(x), p_{\mu\nu}^{h}(y)\} &= \delta_{(\mu\nu)}^{\alpha\beta}(x-y), \\
\{h^{\alpha0}(x), p_{\beta0}^{h}(y)\} &= \delta_{\beta}^{\alpha}(x-y), \\
\{h^{00}(x), p_{00}^{h}(y)\} &= \delta(x-y), \\
\{b^{\alpha}(x), p_{\beta}^{b}(y)\} &= \delta_{\beta}^{\alpha}(x-y), \\
\{b^{0}(x), p_{0}^{b}(y)\} &= \delta(x-y), \\
\{\varphi(x), p^{\varphi}(y)\} &= \delta(x-y),
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where we use the notation $\delta_{\mu\nu\dots}^{\alpha\beta\dots}(x-y) \equiv \delta_{\mu}^{\alpha}\delta_{\nu\dots}^{\beta\dots}\delta(x-y)$.

The Poisson brackets of constraints (3) equal zero between themselves. At that the Hamiltonian obtained from (1) has the following form:

$$\mathcal{H}_{0} = \bar{p}_{\alpha\beta}^{h} p_{\alpha\beta}^{h} - \frac{1}{3} \bar{p}_{\beta\beta}^{h} p_{\alpha\alpha}^{h} + \frac{1}{6} \bar{p}_{\alpha\alpha}^{h} p^{\varphi} + \frac{1}{6} p_{\alpha\alpha}^{h} \bar{p}^{\varphi} + \frac{1}{2} \bar{p}_{\alpha}^{b} p_{\alpha}^{b} + \frac{1}{6} \bar{p}^{\varphi} p^{\varphi}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{3} \partial_{\alpha} h_{\alpha 0} \bar{p}_{\beta\beta}^{h} + \frac{1}{3} \partial_{\alpha} \bar{h}_{\alpha 0} p_{\beta\beta}^{h} + \partial_{\alpha} \bar{b}_{0} p_{\alpha}^{b} + \partial_{\alpha} b_{0} \bar{p}_{\alpha}^{b} - \frac{1}{6} \partial_{\alpha} h_{\alpha 0} \bar{p}^{\varphi}$$

$$- \frac{1}{6} \partial_{\alpha} \bar{h}_{\alpha 0} p^{\varphi} + \bar{h}_{\alpha 0} p_{\alpha}^{b} + h_{\alpha 0} \bar{p}_{\alpha}^{b} - \partial_{\alpha} h_{00} \partial_{\beta} \bar{h}_{\alpha\beta} - \partial_{\beta} \bar{h}_{00} \partial_{\alpha} h_{\alpha\beta}$$

$$+ \partial_{\beta} h_{\alpha\alpha} \partial_{\beta} \bar{h}_{00} + \partial_{\alpha} h_{00} \partial_{\alpha} \bar{h}_{\beta\beta} + \frac{2}{3} \partial_{\alpha} h_{\alpha 0} \partial_{\beta} \bar{h}_{\beta0} - 2 \partial_{\beta} h_{\alpha 0} \partial_{\beta} \bar{h}_{\alpha0}$$

$$+ \partial_{\gamma} h_{\alpha\beta} \partial_{\gamma} \bar{h}_{\alpha\beta} + \partial_{\beta} h_{\alpha\alpha} \partial_{\gamma} \bar{h}_{\beta\gamma} + \partial_{\beta} \bar{h}_{\gamma\gamma} \partial_{\alpha} h_{\alpha\beta} - \partial_{\beta} h_{\alpha\alpha} \partial_{\beta} \bar{h}_{\gamma\gamma}$$

$$+ 2 \partial_{\gamma} \bar{h}_{\beta\gamma} \partial_{\alpha} h_{\alpha\beta} - 2 \partial_{\alpha} \varphi \partial_{\alpha} \bar{h}_{00} - 2 \partial_{\alpha} \bar{\varphi} \partial_{\alpha} h_{00} + 2 \partial_{\beta} h_{\alpha\alpha} \partial_{\beta} \bar{\varphi}$$

$$+ 2 \partial_{\beta} \bar{h}_{\alpha\alpha} \partial_{\beta} \varphi - 2 \partial_{\beta} \bar{\varphi} \partial_{\alpha} h_{\alpha\beta} - 2 \partial_{\alpha} \bar{h}_{\alpha\beta} \partial_{\beta} \varphi + 2 \partial_{\beta} b_{\alpha} \partial_{\beta} \bar{b}_{\alpha}$$

$$- 2 \partial_{\beta} b_{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} \bar{b}_{\beta} - 2 \partial_{\alpha} b_{\alpha} \bar{h}_{00} - 2 \partial_{\alpha} \bar{b}_{\alpha} h_{00} - 2 \partial_{\beta} \bar{b}_{\alpha} \bar{h}_{\alpha\beta} - 2 \partial_{\beta} \bar{b}_{\alpha} h_{\alpha\beta}$$

$$+ 2 \partial_{\alpha} b_{\alpha} \bar{h}_{\beta\beta} + 2 \partial_{\alpha} \bar{b}_{\alpha} h_{\beta\beta} + 4 \partial_{\alpha} \bar{b}_{0} h_{\alpha0} + 4 \partial_{\alpha} b_{0} \bar{h}_{\alpha0} + \bar{h}_{\alpha\beta} h_{\alpha\beta}$$

$$+ \bar{h}_{00} h_{\alpha\alpha} + \bar{h}_{\alpha\alpha} h_{00} - \bar{h}_{\beta\beta} h_{\alpha\alpha} ,$$

where $\gamma_{\alpha\beta} = -g_{\alpha\beta}$ and $A_{\alpha\alpha...} \equiv \gamma^{\alpha\beta} A_{\alpha\beta...}$

In order that the Hamiltonian equations be equivalent to the Lagrangian ones followed from (1), one has to add the first step constraints to the Hamiltonian, but since the constraints commute between themselves one needn't add it to Hamiltonian for the calculation of second step constraints.

At the second stage we get 5 second step constraints, calculating the evolution of first step ones

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\stackrel{(2)}{C}{}_{\alpha}^{h} &=& 2\partial_{\beta}p_{\alpha\beta}^{h} - p_{\alpha}^{b} - 2\Delta h_{\alpha0} - 4\partial_{\alpha}b_{0} \\
\stackrel{(2)}{C}{}_{\alpha}^{h} &=& -\Delta h_{\alpha\alpha} + \partial_{\alpha\beta}h_{\alpha\beta} + 2\Delta\varphi - 2\partial_{\alpha}b_{\alpha} + h_{\alpha\alpha} \\
\stackrel{(2)}{C}{}_{b}^{b} &=& \partial_{\alpha}p_{\alpha}^{b} - p^{\varphi} + 2\partial_{\alpha}h_{\alpha0} .
\end{array} \tag{6}$$

The Poisson brackets equal zero among the second step constraints and between them and the first step ones.

The brackets between constraints (6) and Hamiltonian (5) equal either zero or linear combinations of second step constraints. That is, new constraints do not appear at the third stage. Hence (3) and (6) form the full system of constraints for this theory. In this, all the constraints are the first kind ones.

It is easy to compute that the number of degrees of freedom equals five in this case. This agrees with the formula 2s + 1 for a massive particle of arbitrary spin s.

2. Free massive field of spin 3: substitution of variables without derivatives

As in the previous Section we will describe a free massive complex field of spin 3 with the gauge invariant Lagrangian in the non-canonical form

$$\mathcal{L}_{0} = -10\partial_{n}\bar{\Phi}_{klm}\partial_{n}\Phi_{klm} + 30\partial_{k}\Phi_{klm}\partial_{n}\bar{\Phi}_{lmn} - 30\left(\partial_{k}\Phi_{klm}\partial_{m}\bar{\Phi}_{l} + h.c.\right)
+ 30\partial_{l}\Phi_{k}\partial_{l}\bar{\Phi}_{k} + 15\partial_{l}\bar{\Phi}_{l}\partial_{k}\Phi_{k} - 6\left(2\partial_{k}\Phi_{klm}\partial_{m}\bar{b}_{l} - 2\partial_{l}\Phi_{k}\partial_{l}\bar{b}_{k}\right)
- \partial_{l}\bar{\Phi}_{l}\partial_{k}b_{k} + h.c.\right) + \frac{36}{5}\partial_{l}b_{k}\partial_{k}\bar{b}_{l} + 30\partial_{m}\bar{h}_{kl}\partial_{m}h_{kl} - 60\partial_{k}h_{kl}\partial_{m}\bar{h}_{lm}$$
(7)
+ 30\left(\partial_{l}\bar{h}\partial_{k}\bar{h}\partial_{k}\bar{l} + h.c.\right) - 30\partial_{k}\bar{h}\partial_{k}\bar{h} + 5\left(\partial_{l}\bar{\varphi}\partial_{k}\bar{h}\partial_{k}\bar{\varphi} + h.c.\right)
- \frac{1}{4}\partial_{k}\bar{\varphi}\partial_{k}\varphi - 15\left(2\partial_{m}\bar{h}_{kl}\Phi_{klm} - 4\partial_{k}\bar{h}_{kl}\Phi_{kl} + \partial_{k}\bar{h}\Phi_{k} + h.c.\right)
- \frac{5}{2}\left(\partial_{k}\bar{\varphi}\Phi_{k} + h.c.\right) - 18\left(\partial_{k}\bar{b}_{k}\hat{h} + h.c.\right) + 5\left(2\bar{\Phi}_{klm}\Phi_{klm} \\
- 6\bar{\Phi}_{k}\Phi_{k} + 9\bar{h}\hat{h}\right), \tag{8}

where Φ_{klm} is the symmetric tensor and $\Phi_k \stackrel{def}{=} g^{lm} \Phi_{klm}$.

The transformations of the fields for this Lagrangian have the following form:

$$\delta \Phi_{klm} = 3\partial_{(k}\omega_{lm)} - \frac{3}{5}g_{(kl}\partial_{m)}\eta,
\delta h_{kl} = 2\partial_{(k}\xi_{l)} + \omega_{kl},
\delta b_{k} = 2\partial_{k}\eta + 5\xi_{k},
\delta \varphi = 12\eta,$$
(9)

at that $g^{kl}\omega_{kl}=0$.

The transformations of rank 2 and 3 fields have the form of type $\delta \Phi = \partial \omega + g \xi$ and $\delta h = \partial \xi + \omega + g \eta$. It is evident that transformations (9) looks simpler, moreover the Goldstone part for the field Φ_{klm} is absent in the transformations. This facilitate an analysis of the theory at switching on interaction. The transition from the canonical form to Lagrangian (7) and transformations (9) has been reached with the fields redefinitions of type

$$\Phi' \rightarrow \Phi - g b,
h' \rightarrow h - g \varphi.$$
(10)

In order to show that the number of degrees of freedom remains the same we will compute the constraint algebra of theory (7).

Calculating the canonically conjugated momenta we obtain 14 first step constraints

$$C^{(1)}_{b} = \frac{6}{5} p_{000}^{\Phi} - p_{0}^{b} - 12\partial_{\delta}\Phi_{\gamma\gamma\delta} + 24\partial_{\gamma}\Phi_{\gamma00} + \frac{36}{5}\partial_{\gamma}b_{\gamma},$$

$$C^{(1)}_{h} = -p_{00}^{h} - 45\Phi_{\gamma\gamma0} + 15\Phi_{000} + 30\partial_{\gamma}h_{\gamma0} + 18b_{0},$$

$$C^{(1)}_{\alpha} = -p_{\alpha0}^{h} + 60\Phi_{\alpha\gamma\gamma} - 60\partial_{\gamma}h_{\alpha\gamma} + 30\partial_{\alpha}h_{\gamma\gamma} - 30\partial_{\alpha}h_{00} - 5\partial_{\alpha}\varphi,$$

$$C^{(1)}_{\alpha} = -p_{\alpha00}^{\Phi} + 30\partial_{\gamma}\Phi_{\alpha\gamma0} - 30\partial_{\alpha}\Phi_{\gamma\gamma0} + 30\partial_{\alpha}\Phi_{000} + 12\partial_{\alpha}b_{0},$$

$$C^{(1)}_{\alpha\beta} = -p_{\alpha\beta0}^{\Phi} - 3\gamma_{\alpha\beta}p_{000}^{\Phi} - 30\partial_{\gamma}\Phi_{\alpha\beta\gamma} + 30\partial_{(\alpha}\Phi_{\beta)\gamma\gamma} - 30\partial_{(\alpha}\Phi_{\beta)00}$$

$$+ 30\gamma_{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\delta}\Phi_{\gamma\gamma\delta} - 60\gamma_{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\gamma}\Phi_{\gamma00} - 12\partial_{(\alpha}b_{\beta)} - 12\gamma_{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\gamma}b_{\gamma}.$$
(11)

Let us update Poisson brackets (4)

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\Phi^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x), p_{\lambda\mu\nu}^{\Phi}(y)\} &= \delta_{(\lambda\mu\nu)}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x-y), \\
\{\Phi^{\alpha\beta0}(x), p_{\mu\nu0}^{\Phi}(y)\} &= \delta_{(\mu\nu)}^{\alpha\beta}(x-y), \\
\{\Phi^{\alpha00}(x), p_{\beta00}^{\Phi}(y)\} &= \delta_{\beta}^{\alpha}(x-y), \\
\{\Phi^{000}(x), p_{000}^{\Phi}(y)\} &= \delta(x-y).
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

The Poisson brackets of all the first step constraints equal zero among themselves.

Now we need to compute the canonical Hamiltonian. The result is rather cumbersome even for free field, therefore, we place the concrete expression for the Hamiltonian in Appendix 1.

From the condition of conservation of the first step constraints, we get the second step constraints

$$+12\partial^{2}b_{\alpha} + 24\partial_{\alpha\gamma}^{2}b_{\gamma} + 30\Phi_{\alpha\gamma\gamma} - 60\partial_{\gamma}h_{\alpha\gamma} + 15\partial_{\alpha}h_{\gamma\gamma}$$

$$-45\partial_{\alpha}h_{00} - \frac{5}{2}\partial_{\alpha}\varphi,$$

$$C_{\alpha\beta}^{(2)} = -3\partial_{\gamma}p_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{\Phi} + p_{\alpha\beta}^{h} + 30\partial^{2}\Phi_{\alpha\beta0} - 30\partial_{\alpha\beta}^{2}\Phi_{000} + 30\partial_{\alpha\beta}^{2}\Phi_{\gamma\gamma0}$$

$$-30\gamma_{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\gamma\delta}^{2}\Phi_{\gamma\delta0} - 30\gamma_{\alpha\beta}\partial^{2}\Phi_{000} + 60\gamma_{\alpha\beta}\partial^{2}\Phi_{\gamma\gamma0} - 12\partial_{\alpha\beta}^{2}b_{0}$$

$$-24\gamma_{\alpha\beta}\partial^{2}b_{0} + 60\partial_{(\alpha}h_{\beta)0} + 90\gamma_{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\gamma}h_{\gamma0} - 45\gamma_{\alpha\beta}\Phi_{\gamma\gamma0}$$

$$+15\gamma_{\alpha\beta}\Phi_{000} + 18\gamma_{\alpha\beta}b_{0}.$$

The second step constraints have zero brackets among themselves and between them and the first step ones. New constraints do not appear at the third stage. Hence (11) and (13) constitute the full system of constraints. In this, all the constraints are of the first kind.

It is easy to compute the number of independent field degrees of freedom. The number of all field components equals 35 and number of the constraints 28, therefore, the number of independent degrees of freedom equals 35 - 28 = 7. Thus passing to the non-canonical form (7) with the substitution of variables (10), the number of degrees of freedom has not changed.

3. Massive spin-3 field: substitution with derivatives

When looking at transformations (9) a desire arises to simplify the ones making a third rank field shift of type

$$\Phi' \to \Phi + q\partial\varphi \tag{14}$$

so that the transformations for Φ remain only of type $\partial \omega$. Besides, simplicity of the transformations gives us another advantage. Since the metrical tensor is absent in the transformations after such shift, the Lagrangian does not depend on the space-time dimensionality.

However the Lagrangian becomes the third degree one in derivatives. The question emerges whether the number of physical degrees of freedom changes at that.

Let us show that the number of degrees of freedom increases by one at the redefinitions of type (14).

In order to reduce the number of derivatives in the Lagrangian we introduce an auxiliary field v_k . In this, the Lagrangian acquire the following form

$$\mathcal{L}_{0} = (2\partial_{m}\Phi_{klm}\partial_{l}\bar{v}_{k} - 2\partial_{l}\Phi_{k}\partial_{l}\bar{v}_{k} + 2\partial_{l}\Phi_{k}\partial_{k}\bar{v}_{l} - 3\partial_{l}\Phi_{l}\partial_{m}\bar{v}_{m} + h.c.)$$

$$- 10\partial_{n}\Phi_{klm}\partial_{n}\bar{\Phi}_{klm} + 30\partial_{n}\Phi_{kln}\partial_{m}\bar{\Phi}_{klm} - 30\left(\partial_{n}\Phi_{kmn}\partial_{m}\bar{\Phi}_{k} + h.c.\right)$$

$$+ 30\partial_{m}\Phi_{k}\partial_{m}\bar{\Phi}_{k} + 15\partial_{m}\Phi_{m}\partial_{k}\bar{\Phi}_{k} - 6\left(2\partial_{m}\Phi_{klm}\partial_{l}\bar{b}_{k} - 2\partial_{m}b_{l}\partial_{m}\bar{\Phi}_{l}\right)$$

$$-\partial_{m}b_{m}\partial_{l}\bar{\Phi}_{l} + h.c.) + 30\partial_{m}h_{kl}\partial_{m}\bar{h}_{kl} - 60\partial_{m}h_{km}\partial_{l}\bar{h}_{kl} + 30\left(\partial_{m}h_{lm}\partial_{l}\bar{h} + h.c.\right) - 30\partial_{l}h\partial_{l}\bar{h} - 15\left(2\partial_{m}h_{kl}\bar{\Phi}_{klm} - 4\partial_{m}h_{km}\bar{\Phi}_{k} + \partial_{k}h\bar{\Phi}_{k} + h.c.\right) + \left(\bar{\lambda}_{k}\left(\partial_{k}\varphi - v_{k}\right) + h.c.\right) + 10\Phi_{klm}\bar{\Phi}_{klm} - 30\Phi_{k}\bar{\Phi}_{k}.$$

$$(15)$$

Correspondingly, gauge transformations (9) after shift (14) and entering the auxiliary field have the following form:

$$\delta \Phi_{klm} = 3\partial_{(k}\omega_{lm)},
\delta h_{kl} = 2\partial_{(k}\xi_{l)} + \omega_{kl},
\delta b_{k} = 2\partial_{k}\eta + 5\xi_{k},
\delta v_{k} = 12\partial_{k}\eta,
\delta \varphi = 12\eta.$$
(16)

Passing to the Hamiltonian form of theory (15), we obtain the following constraints at this stage

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
C^{(1)}_{\lambda} & = & p_{0}^{\lambda}, \\
C^{(1)}_{\alpha} & = & p_{\alpha}^{\lambda}, \\
C^{(2)}_{\nu} & = & p^{\varphi} - \lambda_{0}, \\
C^{(2)}_{\nu} & = & -\frac{1}{6} p_{0}^{b} - p_{0}^{v} - 2\partial_{\delta}\Phi_{\gamma\gamma\delta} + 2\partial_{\gamma}\Phi_{\gamma00}, \\
C^{(1)}_{\alpha} & = & -\frac{1}{6} p_{\alpha}^{b} - p_{\alpha}^{v} + 2\partial_{\alpha}\Phi_{\gamma\gamma0} - 2\partial_{\alpha}\Phi_{000}, \\
C^{(1)}_{\alpha} & = & -\frac{1}{6} p_{\alpha}^{b} - p_{\alpha}^{v} + 2\partial_{\alpha}\Phi_{\gamma\gamma0} - 2\partial_{\alpha}\Phi_{000}, \\
C^{(1)}_{\alpha} & = & -p_{00}^{h} - 45\Phi_{\gamma\gamma0} + 15\Phi_{000} + 30\partial_{\gamma}h_{\gamma0}, \\
C^{(1)}_{\alpha} & = & -p_{\alpha0}^{h} + 60\Phi_{\alpha\gamma\gamma} - 60\partial_{\gamma}h_{\alpha\gamma} + 30\partial_{\alpha}h_{\gamma\gamma} - 30\partial_{\alpha}h_{00}, \\
C^{(1)}_{\alpha} & = & -p_{\alpha00}^{\Phi} + 30\partial_{\gamma}\Phi_{\alpha\gamma0} - 30\partial_{\alpha}\Phi_{\gamma\gamma0}, \\
C^{(1)}_{\alpha} & = & -p_{\alpha00}^{\Phi} + 30\partial_{\gamma}\Phi_{\alpha\gamma0} - 30\partial_{\alpha}\Phi_{\gamma\gamma0}, \\
C^{(1)}_{\alpha\beta} & = & -p_{\alpha\beta0}^{\Phi} - 3p_{000}^{\Phi}\gamma_{\alpha\beta} - 30\partial_{\gamma}\Phi_{\alpha\beta\gamma} - 30\partial_{(\alpha}\Phi_{\beta)00} + 30\partial_{(\alpha}\Phi_{\beta)\gamma\gamma} \\
& & + 30\partial_{\delta}\Phi_{\gamma\gamma\delta}\gamma_{\alpha\beta} - 60\partial_{\gamma}\Phi_{\gamma00}\gamma_{\alpha\beta} - 12\partial_{(\alpha}b_{\beta)} - 12\partial_{\gamma}b_{\gamma}\gamma_{\alpha\beta} \\
& & + 2\partial_{(\alpha}v_{\beta)} + 6\partial_{\gamma}v_{\gamma}\gamma_{\alpha\beta}.
\end{array} \tag{17}$$

Since unlike (7) the additional variables, namely, the auxiliary field v_k and the Lagrange multiplier λ_k arise in Lagrangian (15), therefore, one has to update the Poisson brackets

$$\{v_0(x), p_0^v(y)\} = \delta(x-y),
 \{v_\alpha(x), p_\beta^v(y)\} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}(x-y),
 \{\lambda_0(x), p_0^\lambda(y)\} = \delta(x-y),
 \{\lambda_\alpha(x), p_\beta^\lambda(y)\} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}(x-y).$$
(18)

There are only two the non-trivial brackets among the first step constraints

$$\{ \stackrel{(1)}{C}{}^{\lambda}(x), \stackrel{(1)}{C}{}^{v}(y) \} = \delta(x - y) , \qquad (19)$$

hence, besides first kind constraints, the second kind ones emerge in the theory.

Canonical Hamiltonian obtained in this case is placed in Appendix 2.

From the condition of the first step constraint conservation, we obtain the second step constraints

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\stackrel{(1)}{\Lambda_0^{\lambda}} &=& \partial_{\alpha}\lambda_{\alpha}, \\
\stackrel{(1)}{\Lambda^{\varphi}} &=& v_0, \\
\stackrel{(2)}{C_{\alpha}^{\lambda}} &=& -\partial_{\alpha}\varphi + v_{\alpha}, \\
\stackrel{(2)}{C^{v}} &=& \lambda_{0}, \\
\stackrel{(2)}{C^{v}} &=& \lambda_{\alpha}, \\
\stackrel{(2)}{C^{h}} &=& \frac{5}{2}p_{0}^{b} - 30\partial^{2}h_{\gamma\gamma} + 30\partial_{\gamma\delta}^{2}h_{\gamma\delta} - 30\partial_{\delta}\Phi_{\gamma\gamma\delta} + 30\partial_{\gamma}\Phi_{\gamma00}, \\
\stackrel{(2)}{C^{h}} &=& \frac{5}{2}p_{0}^{b} - 30\partial^{2}h_{\gamma\gamma} + 5p_{\alpha}^{b} + 60\partial^{2}h_{\alpha0} - 60\partial_{\gamma}\Phi_{\alpha\gamma0} + 30\partial_{\alpha}\Phi_{\gamma\gamma0} \\
&& - 30\partial_{\alpha}\Phi_{000}, \\
\stackrel{(2)}{C^{h}} &=& + 3\partial_{\alpha}p_{000}^{\Phi} - 30\partial^{2}\Phi_{\alpha\gamma\gamma} + 30\partial_{\gamma\delta}^{2}\Phi_{\alpha\gamma\delta} - 60\partial_{\alpha\delta}^{2}\Phi_{\gamma\gamma\delta} + 90\partial_{\alpha\gamma}^{2}\Phi_{\gamma00} \\
&& + 12\partial^{2}b_{\alpha} + 24\partial_{\alpha\gamma}^{2}b_{\gamma} - 2\partial^{2}v_{\alpha} - 10\partial_{\alpha\gamma}^{2}v_{\gamma} + 30\Phi_{\alpha\gamma\gamma} \\
&& - 60\partial_{\gamma}h_{\alpha\gamma} + 15\partial_{\alpha}h_{\gamma\gamma} - 45\partial_{\alpha}h_{00},
\end{array}$$

$$\stackrel{(2)}{C_{\alpha\beta}} &=& - 3\partial_{\gamma}p_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{\Phi} + p_{\alpha\beta}^{h} + 30\partial^{2}\Phi_{\alpha\beta0} - 30\partial_{\alpha\beta}^{2}\Phi_{0000} + 30\partial_{\alpha\beta}^{2}\Phi_{\gamma\gamma0} \\
&& + 60\gamma_{\alpha\beta}\partial^{2}\Phi_{\gamma\gamma0} - 30\gamma_{\alpha\beta}\partial^{2}\Phi_{000} - 30\gamma_{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\gamma\delta}^{2}\Phi_{\gamma\delta0} - 12\partial_{\alpha\beta}^{2}b_{0} \\
&& - 24\gamma_{\alpha\beta}\partial^{2}b_{0} + 6\partial_{\alpha\beta}^{2}v_{0} + 6\gamma_{\alpha\beta}\partial^{2}v_{0} + 60\partial_{(\alpha}h_{\beta)0} \\
&& + 90\gamma_{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\gamma}h_{\gamma0} + 15\gamma_{\alpha\beta}\Phi_{000} - 45\gamma_{\alpha\beta}\Phi_{\gamma\gamma0}.
\end{array}$$

$$(20)$$

The first and second step constraints besides (19) have the following non-trivial Poisson brackets

$$\begin{cases}
C^{(1)}_{\lambda}(x), C^{(2)}_{\nu}(y) \} &= \delta(x - y), \\
C^{(2)}_{\nu}(x) C^{(2)}_{\alpha}(y) \} &= \partial_{\alpha}^{y} \delta(x - y), \\
C^{(2)}_{\nu}(x) C^{(2)}_{\beta}(y) \} &= \delta_{\alpha\beta}(x - y), \\
C^{(1)}_{\alpha}(x) C^{(2)}_{\beta}(y) \} &= -\delta_{\alpha\beta}(x - y).
\end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases}
C^{(1)}_{\nu}(x) C^{(2)}_{\nu}(y) \} &= -\delta_{\alpha\beta}(x - y).$$
(21)

where $\partial_{\alpha}^{y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{\alpha}}$.

At the third stage new constraints do not emerge, but the partial determination of the Lagrange multipliers happens

$$\Lambda_{\alpha}^{v} = -\partial_{\alpha}v_{0}, \ \Lambda_{\alpha}^{\lambda} = 0.$$

Thus, we have 15 "non-commutative" constraints. These are the first step constraints $C^{(1)}$, $C^{(1)}$, $C^{(1)}$, $C^{(1)}$, $C^{(1)}$, and the second step ones $C^{(2)}$, $C^{(2)}$, $C^{(2)}$. Among these constraints there is a linear combination, that has zero brackets with all other constraints, i.e., it is the first kind constraint

$$\overset{(1)}{C}^c + \partial_\alpha \overset{(1)}{C}^v_\alpha + \overset{(2)}{C}^v,$$

thus, among 15 "non-commutative" constraints, there are only 14 second kind ones.

Having computed the constraint algebra, let us calculate the number of degrees of freedom. The number of all field components in theory (15) equals 20+10+4+1+4+4=43. In this, there are 22 first and 20 second step constraints. Among them, there are 28 first and 14 second kind constraints. Hence the number of degrees of freedom for this theory equals $43-28-\frac{14}{2}=8$ and not 7 as for the theory describing the massive particle of spin 3.

Thus, one can conclude that the presence of derivatives in field redefinitions, as in (14) for example, can result in the change of the number of degrees of freedom in the theory.

Conclusion

Thus, in this paper we built the canonical Hamiltonians and full systems of constraints for the free massive fields of spin 2 and 3. We have shown that at substitutions of variables with use of derivatives the number of physical degrees of freedom in theory will be able to change. Of course it is not mean that such changes always happen. It implies that the use derivatives in substitutions of variables requires more careful examination.

References

- [1] Yu.M. Zinoviev, "Gauge Invariant Description of Massive High Spin Particles", IHEP preprint 83-91, Protvino, 1983.
- [2] S.M. Klishevich, Yu.M. Zinoviev, "On Electromagnetic Interaction of Massive Spin-2 Particle", IHEP preprint 97-41, Protvino, 1997.
- [3] P.A.M. Dirac. Proc. Roy. Soc., **A246**, 326 (1958).
- [4] A.J. Hanson, T. Regge, C. Teitelboim. *Constrained Hamiltonian Systems*. Rome, Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei, 1976.

Received June 18, 1997

Appendix 1

The canonical Hamiltonian for the Lagrangian (7) has the following form:

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{5} \bar{p}_{000}^{\Phi} p_{000}^{\Phi} + \frac{1}{10} \bar{p}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{\Phi} p_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{\Phi} - \frac{3}{50} \bar{p}_{\beta\gamma\gamma}^{\Phi} p_{\alpha\alpha\beta}^{\Phi} + \frac{1}{12} \bar{p}_{\alpha\alpha\beta}^{\Phi} p_{\beta}^{\Phi} + \frac{1}{20} \bar{p}_{\beta}^{\Phi} p_{\alpha\alpha\beta}^{\Phi}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{30} \bar{p}_{\alpha\beta}^{\Phi} p_{\alpha\beta}^{\Phi} - \frac{7}{70} \bar{p}_{\beta\beta}^{\Phi} p_{\alpha\alpha}^{\Phi} + \frac{1}{12} \bar{p}_{\alpha\alpha}^{\Phi} p^{\phi} + \frac{1}{6} \bar{p}_{\alpha}^{\Phi} p_{\alpha}^{\Phi} + \bar{p}^{\varphi} p^{\varphi}$$

$$- 3\partial_{\beta} \Phi_{\alpha\alpha\beta} \bar{p}_{000}^{\Phi} - 3\partial_{\beta} \bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\alpha\beta} p_{000}^{\Phi} + 3\partial_{\alpha} \Phi_{\alpha00} \bar{p}_{000}^{\Phi} + 3\partial_{\alpha} \bar{\Phi}_{\alpha00} p_{000}^{\Phi}$$

$$+ \frac{3}{5} \partial_{\alpha} \Phi_{\alpha\beta0} \bar{p}_{\beta\gamma\gamma}^{\Phi} + \frac{3}{5} \partial_{\alpha} \bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\beta\rho} p_{\beta\gamma\gamma}^{\Phi} + \frac{6}{5} \partial_{\alpha} b_{\alpha} \bar{p}_{\beta\rho}^{\Phi} + \frac{6}{5} \partial_{\alpha} \bar{b}_{\alpha} p_{000}^{\Phi}$$

$$+ \frac{9}{25} \partial_{\alpha} b_{0} \bar{p}_{\alpha\beta\beta}^{\Phi} + \frac{9}{25} \partial_{\alpha} \bar{b}_{0} p_{\alpha\beta\beta}^{\Phi} + \frac{7}{24} \partial_{\alpha} h_{\alpha0} \bar{p}_{\beta\beta}^{\Phi} + \frac{7}{24} \partial_{\alpha} \bar{h}_{\alpha0} p_{\beta\beta}^{\Phi}$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\alpha} \Phi_{\alpha\beta0} \bar{p}_{\beta}^{\Phi} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\alpha} \bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\beta0} p_{\beta}^{\Phi} + \frac{6}{5} \partial_{\alpha} b_{0} \bar{p}_{\alpha}^{\Phi} + \frac{7}{6} \partial_{\alpha} b_{\alpha} p_{\beta\beta}^{\Phi}$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\alpha} h_{\alpha0} p_{\beta}^{\Phi} - \frac{1}{48} \bar{\Phi}_{000} p_{\alpha\alpha}^{h} + \frac{7}{48} \Phi_{000} \bar{p}_{\alpha\alpha}^{h} + \bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\beta0} p_{\alpha\beta}^{h} + \Phi_{\alpha\beta0} \bar{p}_{\alpha\beta}^{h}$$

$$- \frac{7}{16} \bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\alpha0} p_{\beta\beta}^{\Phi} - \frac{7}{16} \bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\alpha0} \bar{p}_{\beta\beta}^{h} + \frac{1}{30} \bar{\Phi}_{000} \bar{p}_{\alpha\alpha}^{h} + \bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\beta0} p_{\alpha\beta}^{h} + \Phi_{\alpha\beta0} \bar{p}_{\alpha\beta}^{h}$$

$$- \frac{7}{16} \bar{\Phi}_{\alpha00} p^{p} + \frac{15}{4} \bar{\Phi}_{\alpha00} p_{\alpha\beta}^{h} + \frac{1}{30} \bar{\Phi}_{000} \bar{p}_{\alpha\alpha}^{h} + \bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\beta0} p_{\alpha\beta}^{h} + \Phi_{\alpha\beta0} \bar{p}_{\alpha\beta}^{h}$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\alpha} \bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\alpha\beta} p_{\beta\beta}^{h} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\alpha0} p^{p} + \frac{15}{4} \bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\alpha0} p^{p} + \frac{9}{2} \bar{b}_{0} p^{\varphi} + \frac{9}{2} \bar{b}_{0} p^{\varphi} + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\Phi}_{000} p^{\varphi}$$

$$+ 30 \partial_{\beta} \Phi_{\alpha\alpha\beta} p_{\delta}^{h} \bar{\Phi}_{\gamma\gamma} + 30 \partial_{\gamma} \Phi_{\alpha\alpha\beta} \partial_{\gamma} \bar{\Phi}_{\beta\gamma} - 30 \partial_{\gamma} \bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\alpha\beta} \partial_{\gamma} \bar{\Phi}_{\beta\delta}$$

$$+ 10 \partial_{\delta} \Phi_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \partial_{\delta} \bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\gamma\gamma} + 30 \partial_{\gamma} \bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\alpha\beta} \partial_{\gamma} \bar{\Phi}_{\beta\gamma} - 30 \partial_{\gamma} \bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\beta\alpha} \partial_{\gamma} \bar{\Phi}_{\beta\delta}$$

$$- 12 \partial_{\beta} \bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\alpha\alpha} \partial_{\gamma} \bar{\Phi}_{\beta\gamma} + 30 \partial_{\beta} \Phi_{\alpha\alpha\beta} \partial_{\gamma} \bar{\Phi}_{\gamma\gamma} - 12 \partial_{\alpha} \bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \partial_{\gamma} \bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\beta}$$

$$- 12 \partial_{\beta} \Phi_{\alpha\alpha\alpha} \partial_{\gamma} \bar{\Phi}_{\beta\gamma} + 30 \partial_{\beta} \Phi_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \partial_{\beta} \bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\gamma\gamma} - 12 \partial_{\beta} \bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\alpha\alpha} \partial_{\beta} \bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\beta}$$

$$- 12 \partial_{\beta} \bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\alpha\alpha} \partial_{\gamma}$$

$$\begin{split} &+20\partial_{\alpha}\bar{\Phi}_{000}\partial_{\alpha}\Phi_{000}+12\partial_{\alpha}\bar{\Phi}_{000}\partial_{\alpha}b_{0}+30\partial_{\alpha}\Phi_{000}\partial_{\beta}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\beta0}\\ &-30\partial_{\alpha}\Phi_{000}\partial_{\alpha}\bar{\Phi}_{\beta\beta0}+12\partial_{\alpha}\Phi_{000}\partial_{\alpha}\bar{b}_{0}-\frac{36}{5}\partial_{\beta}b_{\alpha}\partial_{\alpha}\bar{b}_{\beta}\\ &+\frac{36}{5}\partial_{\alpha}b_{\alpha}\partial_{\beta}\bar{b}_{\beta}+\frac{216}{25}\partial_{\alpha}b_{0}\partial_{\alpha}\bar{b}_{0}+30\partial_{\gamma}h_{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\gamma}\bar{h}_{\alpha\beta}-30\partial_{\gamma}h_{\alpha\beta}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\\ &-30\partial_{\gamma}\bar{h}_{\alpha\beta}\Phi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}+30\partial_{\beta}h_{\alpha\alpha}\partial_{\gamma}\bar{h}_{\beta\gamma}-30\partial_{\beta}h_{\alpha\alpha}\partial_{\beta}\bar{h}_{\gamma\gamma}+5\partial_{\beta}h_{\alpha\alpha}\partial_{\beta}\bar{\varphi}\\ &+30\partial_{\beta}h_{\alpha\alpha}\partial_{\beta}\bar{h}_{00}+15\partial_{\beta}h_{\alpha\alpha}\bar{\Phi}_{\beta00}-15\partial_{\beta}h_{\alpha\alpha}\bar{\Phi}_{\beta\gamma\gamma}-18\partial_{\beta}h_{\alpha\alpha}\bar{b}_{\beta}\\ &-60\partial_{\gamma}\bar{h}_{\beta\gamma}\partial_{\alpha}h_{\alpha\beta}+30\partial_{\beta}\bar{h}_{\gamma\gamma}\partial_{\alpha}h_{\alpha\beta}-5\partial_{\beta}\bar{\varphi}\partial_{\alpha}h_{\alpha\beta}-30\partial_{\beta}\bar{h}_{00}\partial_{\alpha}h_{\alpha\beta}\\ &-60\partial_{\alpha}h_{\alpha\beta}\bar{\Phi}_{\beta00}+60\partial_{\alpha}h_{\alpha\beta}\bar{\Phi}_{\beta\gamma\gamma}+5\partial_{\beta}\bar{h}_{\alpha\alpha}\partial_{\beta}\varphi+15\partial_{\beta}\bar{h}_{\alpha\alpha}\Phi_{\beta00}\\ &-15\partial_{\beta}\bar{h}_{\alpha\alpha}\Phi_{\beta\gamma\gamma}-18\partial_{\beta}\bar{h}_{\alpha\alpha}b_{\beta}-5\partial_{\beta}\varphi\partial_{\alpha}\bar{h}_{\alpha\beta}-60\partial_{\alpha}\bar{h}_{\alpha\beta}\Phi_{\beta00}\\ &+60\partial_{\alpha}\bar{h}_{\alpha\beta}\Phi_{\beta\gamma\gamma}+\frac{85}{4}\partial_{\alpha}h_{\alpha0}\partial_{\beta}\bar{h}_{\beta0}+\frac{325}{8}\partial_{\alpha}h_{\alpha0}\bar{\Phi}_{000}-\frac{255}{8}\partial_{\alpha}h_{\alpha0}\bar{\Phi}_{\beta00}\\ &+\frac{63}{4}\partial_{\alpha}h_{\alpha0}\bar{b}_{0}-60\partial_{\beta}h_{\alpha0}\partial_{\beta}\bar{h}_{\alpha0}+60\partial_{\beta}h_{\alpha0}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\beta0}+60\partial_{\beta}\bar{h}_{\alpha0}\Phi_{\alpha\beta0}\\ &+\frac{325}{8}\partial_{\alpha}\bar{h}_{\alpha0}\Phi_{000}-\frac{255}{8}\partial_{\alpha}\bar{h}_{\alpha0}\Phi_{\beta\beta0}+\frac{63}{4}\partial_{\alpha}\bar{h}_{\alpha0}b_{0}-\frac{1}{4}\partial_{\alpha}\varphi\partial_{\alpha}\bar{\varphi}\\ &-5\partial_{\alpha}\varphi\partial_{\alpha}\bar{h}_{00}-\frac{5}{2}\partial_{\alpha}\varphi\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha00}+15\partial_{\alpha}\bar{h}_{00}\Phi_{\alpha\beta\beta}+18\partial_{\alpha}\bar{h}_{00}b_{\alpha}\\ &+\frac{5}{2}\partial_{\alpha}\bar{\varphi}\Phi_{\alpha\beta\beta}-45\partial_{\alpha}\bar{h}_{00}\Phi_{\alpha00}+15\partial_{\alpha}\bar{h}_{00}\Phi_{\alpha\beta\beta}+18\partial_{\alpha}\bar{h}_{00}\Phi_{\alpha\alpha0}\\ &+18\partial_{\alpha}h_{00}\bar{b}_{\alpha}+10\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\Phi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}+30\bar{\Phi}_{\beta00}\Phi_{\alpha\alpha\beta}-\frac{255}{8}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\alpha0}b_{0}-\frac{45}{8}\Phi_{\alpha\alpha0}\bar{b}_{0}\\ &-30\bar{\Phi}_{\beta\gamma\gamma}\Phi_{\alpha\alpha\beta}+\frac{63}{16}\bar{\Phi}_{\beta00}\Phi_{\alpha\alpha0}+30\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\beta\beta}\Phi_{\alpha00}+\frac{63}{8}\Phi_{\alpha\alpha0}\bar{b}_{0}\\ &-30\bar{\Phi}_{\beta\gamma\gamma}\Phi_{\alpha\alpha\beta}+\frac{45}{16}\bar{\Phi}_{\beta00}\Phi_{\alpha\alpha0}+30\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\beta\beta}\Phi_{\alpha00}+\frac{63}{8}\Phi_{\alpha\alpha0}\bar{b}_{0}\\ &+45\bar{h}_{00}h_{\alpha\alpha}-45\bar{h}_{00}h_{00}+45\bar{h}_{\alpha\alpha}h_{00}-45\bar{h}_{\beta\beta}h_{\alpha\alpha}-45\bar{h}_{\beta\beta}h_{\alpha\alpha}\\ &+45\bar{h}_{00}h_{\alpha\alpha}-45\bar{h}_{00}h_{00}+45\bar{h}_{\alpha\alpha}h_{00}-45\bar{h}_{\beta\beta}h_{\alpha\alpha}+\frac{81}{20}\bar{b}_{00}\bar{b}_{0}. \end{split}$$

Appendix 2

Canonical Hamiltonian, corresponding to Lagrangian (15), has the form

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{10} \bar{p}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{\Phi} p_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{\Phi} - \frac{3}{50} \bar{p}_{\beta\gamma\gamma}^{\Phi} p_{\alpha\alpha\beta}^{\Phi} + \frac{1}{6} \bar{p}_{000}^{\Phi} p_0^b + \frac{1}{6} \bar{p}_0^b p_{000}^{\Phi} + \frac{1}{20} \bar{p}_{\alpha\alpha\beta}^{\Phi} p_{\beta}^b$$

$$+ \frac{1}{20} \bar{p}_{\beta}^b p_{\alpha\alpha\beta}^{\Phi} + \frac{1}{30} \bar{p}_{\alpha\beta}^h p_{\alpha\beta}^h - \frac{1}{60} \bar{p}_{\beta\beta}^h p_{\alpha\alpha}^h - \frac{5}{36} \bar{p}_0^b p_0^b + \frac{1}{6} \bar{p}_{\alpha}^b p_{\alpha}^b$$

$$(23)$$

$$\begin{split} &-\partial_{\beta}\Phi_{\alpha\alpha\beta}\bar{p}^{\Phi}_{000}-\partial_{\beta}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\alpha\beta}p^{\Phi}_{000}-\partial_{\alpha}\Phi_{\alpha00}\bar{p}^{\Phi}_{000}-\partial_{\alpha}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha00}p^{\Phi}_{000}\\ &+\frac{3}{5}\partial_{\alpha}\Phi_{\alpha\beta0}\bar{p}^{\Phi}_{\beta\gamma\gamma}+\frac{3}{5}\partial_{\alpha}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\beta0}p^{\Phi}_{\beta\gamma\gamma}+\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\alpha}h_{\alpha0}\bar{p}^{h}_{\beta\beta}+\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\alpha}\bar{h}_{\alpha0}p^{h}_{\beta\beta}\\ &+\frac{1}{4}\bar{\Phi}_{000}p^{h}_{\alpha\alpha}+\frac{1}{4}\Phi_{000}\bar{p}^{h}_{\alpha\alpha}+\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\beta0}p^{h}_{\alpha\beta}+\Phi_{\alpha\beta0}\bar{p}^{h}_{\alpha\beta}-\frac{3}{4}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\alpha0}p^{h}_{\beta\beta}\\ &-\frac{3}{4}\Phi_{\alpha\alpha0}\bar{p}^{h}_{\beta\beta}-\frac{5}{3}\partial_{\beta}\Phi_{\alpha\alpha\beta}\bar{p}^{h}_{0}-\frac{5}{3}\partial_{\beta}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\alpha\beta}p^{h}_{0}-\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\alpha}\Phi_{\alpha\beta0}\bar{p}^{h}_{\beta}\\ &+\frac{10}{3}\partial_{\alpha}\Phi_{\alpha00}\bar{p}^{h}_{0}+\frac{10}{3}\partial_{\alpha}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha00}p^{h}_{0}+\partial_{\alpha}b_{\alpha}\bar{p}^{h}_{0}+\partial_{\alpha}\bar{b}_{\alpha}p^{h}_{0}-\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\alpha}v_{\alpha}p^{h}_{0}\\ &+\frac{10}{3}\partial_{\alpha}\Phi_{\alpha00}\bar{p}^{h}_{0}+\frac{10}{6}\partial_{\alpha}\bar{v}_{0}p^{h}_{\alpha}-\frac{1}{6}\partial_{\alpha}v_{0}\bar{p}^{h}_{\alpha}-2\partial_{\alpha}\bar{\Phi}_{000}\partial_{\alpha}v_{0}-2\partial_{\alpha}\Phi_{000}\partial_{\alpha}\bar{v}_{0}\\ &-\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\alpha}\bar{v}_{\alpha}p^{h}_{0}-\frac{1}{6}\partial_{\alpha}\bar{v}_{0}p^{h}_{\alpha}-\frac{1}{6}\partial_{\alpha}v_{0}\bar{p}^{h}_{\alpha}-2\partial_{\alpha}\bar{\Phi}_{000}\partial_{\alpha}v_{0}-2\partial_{\alpha}\Phi_{000}\partial_{\alpha}\bar{v}_{0}\\ &+2\partial_{\beta}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha00}\partial_{\beta}v_{\alpha}+2\partial_{\beta}\Phi_{\alpha00}\partial_{\beta}v_{\alpha}-2\partial_{\beta}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha00}\partial_{\alpha}v_{0}-2\partial_{\beta}\Phi_{\alpha00}\partial_{\alpha}\bar{v}_{0}\\ &+2\partial_{\alpha}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha00}\partial_{\beta}\bar{v}_{0}+2\partial_{\beta}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha00}\partial_{\beta}v_{0}+2\partial_{\beta}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha00}\partial_{\alpha}v_{0}-2\partial_{\beta}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha00}\partial_{\alpha}v_{0}\\ &+2\partial_{\alpha}\Phi_{\alpha00}\partial_{\beta}\bar{v}_{0}+2\partial_{\alpha}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha00}\partial_{\beta}v_{0}+2\partial_{\beta}\Phi_{\alpha00}\partial_{\beta}\bar{v}_{0}+2\partial_{\beta}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha00}\partial_{\alpha}v_{0}\\ &-2\partial_{\gamma}\Phi_{\alpha\alpha\beta}\partial_{\gamma}\bar{v}_{\beta}+2\partial_{\gamma}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha00}\partial_{\beta}v_{0}+2\partial_{\alpha}\Phi_{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\beta}\bar{v}_{\gamma}+2\partial_{\gamma}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\alpha0}\partial_{\beta}v_{0}\\ &-2\partial_{\gamma}\Phi_{\alpha\alpha\beta}\partial_{\gamma}\bar{v}_{\beta}-2\partial_{\gamma}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\alpha0}\partial_{\beta}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha00}-30\partial_{\alpha}\Phi_{\alpha\beta0}\partial_{\beta}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha00}\\ &-3\partial_{\beta}\Phi_{\alpha\alpha0}\partial_{\gamma}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\beta0}-3\partial_{\beta}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha00}\partial_{\beta}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha00}-3\partial_{\alpha}\Phi_{\alpha00}\partial_{\alpha}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha00}\\ &-3\partial_{\beta}\Phi_{\alpha\alpha0}\partial_{\gamma}\bar{\Phi}_{\beta\beta0}-3\partial_{\beta}\Phi_{\alpha00}\partial_{\beta}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\beta0}+10\partial_{\beta}\Phi_{\alpha\alpha0}\partial_{\beta}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\gamma0}\\ &+24\partial_{\gamma}\bar{\Phi}_{\beta\gamma0}\partial_{\alpha}\Phi_{\alpha\beta0}-3\partial_{\beta}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\gamma0}\partial_{\gamma}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\beta0}+10\partial_{\beta}\Phi_{\alpha\alpha0}\partial_{\beta}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\gamma0}\\ &+2\partial_{\alpha}\Phi_{\alpha00}\partial_{\gamma}\bar{\Phi}_{\beta\beta\gamma}-3\partial_{\alpha}\Phi_{\alpha\beta0}\partial_{\gamma}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\beta0}+10\partial_{\beta}\Phi_{\alpha\beta0}\partial_{\gamma}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\beta0}\\ &+2\partial_{\alpha}\Phi_{\alpha00}\partial_{\gamma}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\beta0}-3\partial_{\alpha}\Phi_{\alpha\beta0}\partial_{\beta}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\gamma\gamma}+10\partial_{\delta}\Phi_{\alpha\beta0}\partial_{\gamma}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\\ &+3\partial_{\alpha}\Phi_{\alpha\beta0}\partial_{\gamma}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\beta0}-3\partial_{\alpha}\Phi_{\alpha\beta0}\partial_{\beta}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\gamma\gamma}+1\partial_{\beta}\Phi_{\alpha\alpha0}\partial_{\beta}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\gamma\gamma}\\ &+2\partial_{\alpha}\Phi_{\alpha\alpha0$$

$$\begin{split} &+12\partial_{\gamma}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\alpha\beta}\partial_{\gamma}b_{\beta}-30\partial_{\alpha}h_{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\beta}\bar{h}_{00}-30\partial_{\alpha}h_{00}\partial_{\beta}\bar{h}_{\alpha\beta}\\ &+30\partial_{\beta}\bar{h}_{00}\partial_{\beta}h_{\alpha\alpha}+30\partial_{\alpha}h_{00}\partial_{\alpha}\bar{h}_{\beta\beta}-60\partial_{\beta}h_{\alpha0}\partial_{\beta}\bar{h}_{\alpha0}\\ &+15\partial_{\alpha}h_{\alpha0}\partial_{\beta}\bar{h}_{\beta0}+30\partial_{\gamma}h_{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\gamma}\bar{h}_{\alpha\beta}-60\partial_{\alpha}h_{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\gamma}\bar{h}_{\beta\gamma}\\ &+30\partial_{\alpha}h_{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\beta}\bar{h}_{\gamma\gamma}+30\partial_{\gamma}\bar{h}_{\beta\gamma}\partial_{\beta}h_{\alpha\alpha}-30\partial_{\beta}\bar{h}_{\gamma\gamma}\partial_{\beta}h_{\alpha\alpha}\\ &+\frac{75}{2}\partial_{\alpha}h_{\alpha0}\bar{\Phi}_{000}+\frac{75}{2}\partial_{\alpha}\bar{h}_{\alpha0}\Phi_{000}-45\partial_{\alpha}h_{00}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha00}-45\partial_{\alpha}\bar{h}_{00}\Phi_{\alpha00}\\ &+15\partial_{\alpha}h_{00}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\beta\beta}+15\partial_{\alpha}\bar{h}_{00}\Phi_{\alpha\beta\beta}+60\partial_{\beta}h_{\alpha0}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\beta0}+60\partial_{\beta}\bar{h}_{\alpha0}\Phi_{\alpha\beta0}\\ &-\frac{45}{2}\partial_{\alpha}h_{\alpha0}\bar{\Phi}_{\beta\beta0}-\frac{45}{2}\partial_{\alpha}\bar{h}_{\alpha0}\Phi_{\beta\beta0}-60\partial_{\alpha}h_{\alpha\beta}\bar{\Phi}_{\beta00}-60\partial_{\alpha}\bar{h}_{\alpha\beta}\Phi_{\beta00}\\ &+15\partial_{\beta}h_{\alpha\alpha}\bar{\Phi}_{\beta00}+15\partial_{\beta}\bar{h}_{\alpha\alpha}\Phi_{\beta00}-30\partial_{\gamma}h_{\alpha\beta}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}-30\partial_{\gamma}\bar{h}_{\alpha\beta}\Phi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\\ &+60\partial_{\alpha}h_{\alpha\beta}\bar{\Phi}_{\beta\gamma\gamma}+60\partial_{\alpha}\bar{h}_{\alpha\beta}\Phi_{\beta\gamma\gamma}-15\partial_{\beta}h_{\alpha\alpha}\bar{\Phi}_{\beta\gamma\gamma}-15\partial_{\beta}\bar{h}_{\alpha\alpha}\Phi_{\beta\gamma\gamma}\\ &+\frac{35}{4}\bar{\Phi}_{000}\Phi_{000}-\frac{45}{4}\bar{\Phi}_{000}\Phi_{\alpha\alpha0}-\frac{45}{4}\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\alpha0}\Phi_{000}+30\bar{\Phi}_{\beta00}\Phi_{\alpha\alpha\beta}\\ &+30\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\beta\beta}\Phi_{\alpha00}-\frac{45}{4}\bar{\Phi}_{\beta\beta0}\Phi_{\alpha\alpha0}+10\bar{\Phi}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\Phi_{\alpha\beta\gamma}-30\bar{\Phi}_{\beta\gamma\gamma}\Phi_{\alpha\alpha\beta}\\ &+\bar{v}_{0}\lambda_{0}+v_{0}\bar{\lambda}_{0}-\bar{v}_{\alpha}\lambda_{\alpha}-v_{\alpha}\bar{\lambda}_{\alpha}+\partial_{\alpha}\varphi\bar{\lambda}_{\alpha}+\partial_{\alpha}\bar{\varphi}\lambda_{\alpha}\,. \end{split}$$

Клишевич С.М.

О переопределении переменных в калибровочной теории поля.

Оригинал-макет подготовлен с помощью системы ІАТ_ЕХ.

Редактор Е.Н.Горина. Технический редактор Н.В.Орлова.

Подписано к печати 20.06.97. Формат $60 \times 84/8$. Офсетная печать. Печ.л. 1.63. Уч.-изд.л. 1.25. Тираж 250. Заказ 1057. Индекс 3649. ЛР №020498 17.04.97.

ГНЦ РФ Институт физики высоких энергий 142284, Протвино Московской обл.

Индекс 3649

 Π РЕ Π РИ Н Т 97-40,

ИФВЭ,

1997