

# STATE RESEARCH CENTER OF RUSSIA INSTITUTE FOR HIGH ENERGY PHYSICS

IHEP 97-5

L.D.Soloviev

## HADRONS AND STRINGS

Protvino 1997

#### Abstract

Soloviev L.D. Hadrons and strings: IHEP Preprint 97-5. – Protvino, 1997. – p. 11, refs.: 7.

We consider hadrons as relativistic straight-line strings with massive spinning point-like quarks at the ends. We describe classical mechanics of this system and then quantize it. As a result we have got a good description of the observed meson spectra.

#### Аннотация

Соловьев Л.Д. Адроны и струны: Препринт ИФВЭ 97-5. – Протвино, 1997. – 11 с., библиогр.: 7.

Мы рассматриваем адроны как релятивистские прямолинейные струны, на концах которых находятся точечные массивные частицы со спинами. Описана классическая механика такой системы и ее квантование. В результате получено хорошее описание наблюдаемых спектров мезонов.

> © State Research Center of Russia Institute for High Energy Physics, 1997

#### 1. Introduction

By hadrons here we mean mainly mesons consisting of a quark and an antiquark. But all the ideas of this report can be applied to baryons consisting of a quark and a diquark.

To describe heavy-quark mesons one can use a potential model. But the notion of a potential fails for light quarks. On the other hand quantum chromodynamics suggests that the self-interacting gluon field of a quark and an antiquark forms a narrow tube or a string between them when they are far enough from one another, which is responsible for their confinement. This idea does not depend on the quark masses and will be considered here.

So, we consider a meson as an extended relativistic object formed by a string and point-like particles attached to its ends. First we consider classical mechanics of such a system and then canonically quantize it. We propose to use successive approximations starting from simple configurations of the string.

The simplest configuration is an open straight-line string (massless spinless quarks). It has been shown that it gives a good description of the light-quark mesons lying on the leading Regge trajectory [1]. Next approximation considered here is a rigid straight-line string with massive spinning particles at the ends.

More complicated string configurations and quark oscillations may be responsible for the mesons from daughter Regge trajectories, which are still not well known from experiment. Of course, successive approximations should not exceed the accuracy of the string model itself which may need a modification at some step. In any case, only those configurations of the string should be considered which admit relativistic quantization.

To make our presentation of the relativistic mechanics of an extended object clearer we start with a point-like spinning particle [2,3]. Then we introduce a straight-line string and a more general object called a rotator, consider its Lagrangian and Hamiltonian mechanics and perform relativistic canonical quantization. The application to massive spinless quarks makes it possible to account for the difference between light-quark and heavy-quark meson trajectories. As a simple illustration we give a formula for the *s*-quark mass through that of the  $\rho$ - and  $K^*$ -mesons. Inclusion of the spin of one quark helps to understand why the orbital spin of the simple string model may be replaced by the total spin of the meson in the formula for the Regge trajectory. We conclude by mentioning possible generalizations of the model.

#### 2. Spin and constraints

Since starting from a classical (non-quantized) theory has some advantages, let us briefly introduce a (quasi)classical theory of spinning point-like particle [2,3]. The angular momentum of non-relativistic particle

$$\vec{L} = [\vec{r}, \vec{p}] \tag{1}$$

with coordinate  $\vec{r}$ , momentum  $\vec{p}$  and canonical Poisson brackets  $\{r_i, p_j\} = \delta_{ij}$ , obeys the relations

$$\{L_i, L_j\} = \epsilon_{ijk} L_k,\tag{2}$$

which determine the properties of the angular momentum. After replacing classical numbers by quantum operators and Poisson brackets by commutators  $\{,\} \rightarrow -i[,]_{-}, \vec{L}$  becomes an operator which, because of the operator form of (2) alone can have integer and half-integer eigenvalues, but relation (1) connecting  $\vec{L}$  with <u>two</u> variables selects only integer eigenvalues. To get half-integer spin, we must replace (1) by

$$\vec{S} = \frac{1}{2i} [\vec{\xi}, \vec{\xi}], \tag{3}$$

where  $\vec{\xi}$  has canonical Poisson brackets  $\{\xi_i, \xi_j\} = -i\delta_{ij}$ . Then  $\vec{S}$  has the main property of the angular momentum

$$\{S_i, S_j\} = \epsilon_{ijk} S_k. \tag{4}$$

But for definition (3) not to be trivial,  $\xi_i$  must be anticommuting (odd) quantities

$$\xi_i \xi_j = -\xi_j \xi_i. \tag{5}$$

Then quantization replaces the Poisson brackets of  $\xi_i$  by an anticommutator,  $\{,\} \rightarrow -i[,]_+$ ,

$$[\hat{\xi}_i, \hat{\xi}_j]_+ = \delta_{ij},\tag{6}$$

the solution of which is given by the Pauli matrices

$$\hat{\vec{\xi}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\vec{\sigma} \tag{7}$$

and quantum spin (3) is

$$\hat{\vec{S}} = \frac{1}{2}\vec{\sigma}.$$
(8)

In an explicitly invariant relativistic theory a point-like particle can not be described by a 3-vector  $\vec{r}(t)$ . Instead it must be described by a 4-vector  $r^{\mu}(\tau)$  depending on an invariant evolution parameter  $\tau$ . Since physically we are interested only in the dependence of  $\vec{r}(\tau)$  on  $t = r^0(\tau)$ , the dependence of both of them on  $\tau$  is not physical and may be arbitrary changed. The explicitly invariant relativistic theory should not change under the reparametrization  $\tau \to f(\tau)$ . This implies that the coordinates  $r^{\mu}$  and conjugate momentum components  $p^{\mu}$  are not independent, but satisfy a constraint condition

$$\varphi(p) = 0, \tag{9}$$

where for a free spinless particle with mass m the constraint function  $\varphi(p) = p^2 - m^2$ . The Hamiltonian of such a system is simply a linear combination of constraint functions [4]. In our case the Hamiltonian is

$$H = c\varphi(p),\tag{10}$$

where c is arbitrary or fixed by a gauge condition. The  $\tau$ -evolution of the dynamical variable X(=r,p) is given by the Poisson brackets of X and H

$$\dot{X} = \{X, H\},\tag{11}$$

where

$$\{p^{\mu}, r^{\nu}\} = g^{\mu\nu}.$$
 (12)

In (10-12) all  $r^{\mu}$  and  $p^{\nu}$  are considered as independent and only after calculating the brackets in (11) one should use constraint condition (9).

In quantum theory the wave function of the particle satisfies the equation

$$\varphi(\hat{p})\psi = 0,\tag{13}$$

where  $\varphi(\hat{p})$  is the constraint function of the operator arguments.

Let us illustrate this scheme by a free point-like relativistic particle with mass m and spin 1/2. Instead of  $\vec{\xi}(t)$  we must use a 4-(axial)vector  $\xi^{\mu}(\tau)$ . To exclude its unphysical component one introduces a new unphysical dynamical variable  $\xi^{5}(\tau)$  and a Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda$  (entering the Lagrangian without  $\tau$  derivative).  $\xi^{5}$  and  $\lambda$  are axial scalars. All the spin variables  $\xi^{\mu}, \xi^{5}$  and  $\lambda$  anticommute and have the Poisson brackets

$$\{\xi^{\mu},\xi^{\nu}\} = ig^{\mu\nu}, \ \{\xi^5,\xi^5\} = -i.$$
(14)

The Lagrangian has the form

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(\dot{r}, \xi, \xi^5, \dot{\xi}, \dot{\xi}^5, \lambda) = \mathcal{L}_0(\dot{r}, \xi, \xi^5, \lambda) + \mathcal{L}_1(\dot{\xi}, \dot{\xi}^5),$$
(15)

where, in accordance with (14),

$$\mathcal{L}_1(\dot{\xi}) = \frac{1}{2i} (\xi \dot{\xi} - \xi^5 \dot{\xi}^5).$$
(16)

The  $\dot{r}$ -dependent part  $\mathcal{L}_0$  is determined by the reparametrization and Poincaré invariance

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = -\sqrt{\dot{r}^2} F(u),\tag{17}$$

where u are reparametrization-invariant axial scalars

$$u^0 = v\xi, \ u^5 = \xi^5, \ v = \frac{\dot{r}}{\sqrt{\dot{r}^2}}.$$
 (18)

Since  $(u^0)^2 = 0$ ,  $(u^5)^2 = 0$ ,  $(u\lambda)^* = \lambda u = -u\lambda$  and F must be a real even scalar function, its most general form is

$$F(u) = m + i(F_0 u^0 + F_5 u^5)\lambda,$$
(19)

where m,  $F_0$  and  $F_5$  are constants. We could add to (19) a term  $icu^0u^5$ , but since  $\lambda$  is arbitrary we can eliminate this term by a redefinition of  $\lambda$  ( $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda + cu^0/F_5$ ). The momentum of the particle, conjugate to r, is

$$p^{\mu} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{r}_{\mu}} = v^{\mu}F + (\xi^{\mu} - (\xi v)v^{\mu})iF_{0}\lambda.$$
(20)

Let us put

$$p_1 = p - (\xi - (\xi v)v)iF_0\lambda.$$
 (21)

Then

$$p_1 = vF \tag{22}$$

and since  $v^2 = 1$  we have

$$p_1^2 = F^2, \quad v = \frac{p_1}{\sqrt{p_1^2}}.$$
 (23)

The first eq.(23) gives us a constraint function

$$\varphi = p_1^2 - F^2, \tag{24}$$

and the second one permits to express the velocity variable v through momentum p. As a result, the constraint function  $\varphi$  is

$$\varphi = p^2 - m^2 - 2mi(F_0 \frac{p\xi}{\sqrt{p^2}} + F_5 \xi^5)\lambda.$$
(25)

Up to an arbitrary factor this is the Hamiltonian of our particle. Taking the variation of it with respect to  $\lambda$  we get a spin constraint

$$\varphi_1 = F_0 \frac{p\xi}{\sqrt{p^2}} + F_5 \xi^5, \quad \varphi_1 = 0.$$
 (26)

It is important that this constraint must conserve

$$\dot{\varphi}_1 = \{\varphi_1, \varphi\} = 0. \tag{27}$$

With the help of (14) we get a condition for this conservation

$$F_0^2 - F_5^2 = 0. (28)$$

This is also the condition of the supersymmetry [3,5] of the spinning particle action.

After quantization the constraint (26) gives the Dirac equation. With condition (28) its wave function satisfies also the equation corresponding to constraint (25), which is the Klein-Gordon equation.

#### 3. Rotating rod, string and rotator

Before considering a relativistic string in the 4-dimensional space-time, let us imagine a rod in 3 dimensions with "mass density at rest" a and length l rotating in a plane around its center so that the linear velocity of its ends is 1. Let us calculate the energy E and the angular momentum of this rod. Knowing the linear velocity of a point at the distance x from the center to be v = x/(l/2), and using relativistic formulas for the energy and momentum at each x we have

$$E = \int_{-l/2}^{l/2} \frac{adx}{\sqrt{1 - v^2}} = \frac{a\pi}{2}l$$
(29)

$$L = \int_{-l/2}^{l/2} \frac{xvadx}{\sqrt{1-v^2}} = \frac{a\pi}{8}l^2$$
(30)

and, since E = m is the mass of the rotating rod,

$$L = \frac{1}{2\pi a}m^2,\tag{31}$$

what is a linearly rising Regge trajectory. Of course, this model is logically inconsistent since the ends of the rod moving with the velocity of light can not be at rest. This inconsistency is eliminated by the notion of relativistic string, described by a 4-vector function  $x^{\mu}(\tau, \sigma)$  of an evolution parameter  $\tau$  and a parameter  $\sigma$  labelling points on the string, with the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm str} = -a \int_{\sigma_1}^{\sigma_2} ((\dot{x}x')^2 - \dot{x}^2 x'^2)^{1/2} d\sigma$$
(32)

(prime means the derivative with respect to  $\sigma$ ). It is not difficult to show that for the straight-line straight-

$$x^{\mu}(\tau,\sigma) = r^{\mu}(\tau) + f(\tau,\sigma)q^{\mu}(\tau)$$
(33)

we have exactly formulas (29-31) for the length, energy and angular momentum, the parameter a being interpreted as "string tension".

We shall not fix the parameters of the string ends  $f_i(\tau) = f(\tau, \sigma_i)$  from the beginning, considering them as dynamical variables determined from the Lagrangian by the variational principle. Then the relativistic description of the straight-line string (33) contains physically superfluous variables and the string theory should be invariant under the three sets of  $\tau$ -dependent transformations:

1) shift of r along q,

$$r \to r + \alpha(\tau)q,$$
 (34)

2) multiplication of q by an arbitrary function,

$$q \to \beta(\tau)q,$$
 (35)

3) reparametrization of  $\tau$ , which for a Lagrangian means the equality

$$\mathcal{L}(\gamma(\tau)\dot{z}) = \gamma(\tau)\mathcal{L}(\dot{z}),\tag{36}$$

where  $\dot{z}$  stands for every velocity in the Lagrangian.

We shall call a rotator any system possessing this symmetry. It may be more complicated than string (32-33), may contain spins or other variables, but it always contains a straight-line string (33).

This symmetry implies that the rotator canonical variables obey three constraints (which are in involution with respect to their Poisson brackets since transformations (34-36) form a group) and the rotator canonical Hamiltonian is zero.

The rotator we are interested in has the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{str}} + \sum_{i=1,2} \mathcal{L}_i + \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}, \qquad (37)$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{str}}$  is the Lagrangian of the straight-line string (32-33),  $\mathcal{L}_i$  is the Lagrangian of a point-like spinning particle (15-16, 18-19, 28), attached to the *i*-th end of the string and  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}$  is an additional Lagrangian describing an interaction of the string with its end particles if it is necessary.  $\mathcal{L}_i$  depends on  $\dot{x}_i, \xi_i, \xi_i^5, \dot{\xi}_i, \dot{\xi}^5, \lambda_i$ , where  $\dot{x}_i$  stands for the velocity of the end of the string perpendicular to the string direction. A possible movement of the end particles along the string is a separate question which is not considered here. Without this movement Lagrangian (37) contains only first derivatives of r, q and  $\xi$  and does not contain  $\dot{f}_i$  which makes it possible to easily express  $f_i$  through other variables. We shall call this solution as a rigid rotator.

#### 4. Rigid rotator with n spins

Let a rigid rotator contain n spins. The spin variables of different spins commute with each other (and anticommute with themselves). Knowing the configuration space and the symmetry of the rotator we can write a general form of its Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = -\sqrt{-\dot{n}^2}F(l,u) + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}_1(\xi_i), \qquad (38)$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_1$  is given in (16),

$$n = q/\sqrt{-q^2} \tag{39}$$

and F is a real even function of the invariants

$$l = \sqrt{-\dot{r}_{\perp}^2/\dot{n}^2},\tag{40}$$

$$\dot{r}_{\perp} = \dot{r} + (\dot{r}n)n - (\dot{r}\dot{n})\dot{n}/\dot{n}^2,$$
(41)

$$u = \{u_i^a\}, \ u_i^a = v^a \xi_i, \ a = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ u_i^5 = \xi_i^5,$$
(42)

$$v^{0} = \dot{r}_{\perp} / \sqrt{\dot{r}_{\perp}^{2}}, \quad v^{1} = \dot{n} / \sqrt{-\dot{n}^{2}}, \quad v^{2}_{\mu} = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} v^{0\nu} v^{3\rho} v^{1\sigma}, \quad v^{3} = n.$$
 (43)

Calculating the momenta p and  $\pi$  conjugate to r and q we get the three constraint functions:

$$\varphi_1 = pq, \quad \varphi_2 = \pi q, \tag{44}$$

$$\varphi_3 = \left( (q^2 - \frac{(qp)^2}{p^2}) \pi_n^2 \right)^{1/2} - K(l, u), \tag{45}$$

where

$$K = lF_l - F, (46)$$

an argument as a lower index means the partial derivative with respect to this argument, l is a function of  $p_n$  and u, implicitly given by the equation

$$F_l(l,u) = \sqrt{p_n^2},\tag{47}$$

 $p_n$  and  $\pi_n$  are functions of p and  $\pi$  and spin variables (summation over *i* is implied)

$$p_n = p + l^{-1} (F_{u_i^0} u_i^2 + F_{u_i^2} u_i^0) v^2$$
(48)

$$\pi_n = \left(g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{p^{\mu}p_n^{\nu}}{pp_n}\right) \left\{\pi_n - \frac{1}{\sqrt{-q^2}} \left[ (F_{u_i^0}u_i^1 + F_{u_i^1}u_i^0)v_\nu^0 + (F_{u_i^2}u_i^1 - F_{u_i^1}u_i^2)v_\nu^2 \right] \right\}$$
(49)

and the velocity variables are expressed through the phase space variables by successive use of the formulas -

$$v^{0} = \frac{p_{n}}{\sqrt{p_{n}^{2}}}, \quad v^{1} = \frac{\pi_{n}}{\sqrt{-\pi_{n}^{2}}}.$$
 (50)

The Hamiltonian of the rotator is a linear combination of the constraint functions

$$H = \sum_{i=1,2,3} c_i \varphi_i.$$
(51)

The non-zero Poisson brackets and the dynamical equations are

$$\{p^{\mu}, r^{\nu}\} = \{\pi^{\mu}, q^{\nu}\} = g^{\mu\nu}$$
(52)

$$\{\xi_i^{\mu}, \xi_i^{\nu}\} = ig^{\mu\nu}, \ \{\xi_i^5, \xi_i^5\} = -i \tag{53}$$

$$\dot{X} = \{X, H\}.$$
 (54)

After calculating the brackets and for the initial conditions  $\varphi_i = 0$ .

The canonical quantization of the rotator can be done in the usual way

$$x \to \hat{x}, \ \{,\} \to -i[,]_{\mp}$$

$$(55)$$

$$\varphi_i \psi = 0, \tag{56}$$

where  $\varphi_i$  are the constraint functions (44-45) and other ones which may follow from the Hamiltonian (51) and  $\varphi_3$  (45) (spin constraints, for instance). The solution of the (anti)commutation relations for two spin variables is

$$\hat{\xi}_1^{\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \gamma^5 \gamma^{\mu} \otimes I, \quad \hat{\xi}_1^5 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \gamma^5 \otimes I, \tag{57}$$

$$\hat{\xi}_2^{\mu} = I \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \gamma^5 \gamma^{\mu}, \quad \hat{\xi}_2^5 = I \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \gamma^5.$$
(58)

The generalization for any number of spins is straightforward. The quantization is relativistic since replacement (55) preserves the Poincaré algebra.

#### 5. Applications: spinless rotator

For a rigid rotator without spins eqs. (38), (45) and (47) simplify

$$\mathcal{L} = -\sqrt{-\dot{n}^2}F(l) \tag{59}$$

$$\varphi_3 = \sqrt{-L_{\mu}^2} - K(l(p^2)) \tag{60}$$

$$K = lF_l - F, \ F_l(l) = \sqrt{p^2},$$
 (61)

where  $L_{\mu}$  is the orbital spin of the system. In a gauge in which  $b_1 = b_2 = 0$ , the wave function of the quantum rotator satisfies the equation

$$(\sqrt{\hat{\vec{L}}^2} - K(l(\hat{p}^2)) - a_0)\psi = 0, \tag{62}$$

where  $a_0$  is a constant of order  $\hbar$  which may enter the quantum operator form of (60) to improve the agreement with experiment for small L, where our model is less reliable. For the eigenstates in (62)

$$\sqrt{L(L+1)} = K(l(m^2)) + a_0, \ L = 0, 1, 2,$$
(63)

with possible exception for L = 0, when the model can be unreliable.

For the straight-line string with point-like spinless particles with masses  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  at the ends Lagrangian (37) with  $L_{\text{int}} = 0$  corresponds to

$$F(l) = \frac{a}{2} \sum_{i=1,2} \left\{ l^2 \arcsin\frac{l_i}{l} + \left(\frac{m_i l_i}{a}\right)^{1/2} \left(l_i + 2\frac{m_i}{a}\right) \right\}$$
(64)

$$l_i = \sqrt{l^2 + (m_i/2a)^2} - m_i/2a.$$
(65)

This function determined the function  $K(l(m^2))$  in the Regge trajectory (63) implicitly, through eqs.(61), and can be calculated numerically for any quark masses  $m_i$ . For  $m_i \ll m$ , or  $m_i \ll al$ , m being the meson mass one can use the expansion

$$F(l) = \frac{1}{2}\pi a l^2 \left[ 1 + \frac{4}{3\pi} \sum_{i=1,2} x_i^{1/2} \left( 1 - \frac{3}{20} x_i + 0(x_i^2) \right) \right]$$
(66)

$$x_i = m_i/(la). \tag{67}$$

For the opposite case, when  $m_i >> al$  (or  $m_1 + m_2$  is close to m, both  $m_i$  being of the same order)

$$F(l) = l \sum_{i=1,2} m_i \left( 1 + \frac{a^2 l^2}{2m_i^2} + O\left( \left( \frac{al}{m_i} \right)^4 \right) \right).$$
(68)

For the intermediate case, when  $m_1 \ll al \ll m_2$ 

$$F(l) = \frac{1}{4}\pi a l^2 \left[ 1 + \frac{8}{3\pi} x_1^{3/2} (1 - \frac{3}{20} x_1 + O(x_1^2)) \right] + lm_2 \left( 1 + \frac{a^2 l^2}{2m_2^2} + O\left(\left(\frac{a l}{m_2}\right)^4\right) \right).$$
(69)

For the first case

$$K(l(m^{2})) = \frac{m^{2}}{2\pi a} \left( 1 - \frac{4}{3\pi} \sum_{i=1,2} y_{i}^{3/2} \left( 1 - \frac{3}{20} y_{i} \right) + \frac{1}{(3\pi)^{2}} \left( \sum_{i=1,2} y_{i}^{3/2} \right)^{2} + O(y_{i}^{7/2}) \right), \quad y_{i} = \pi m_{i}/m.$$

$$(70)$$

For the second one

$$K(l(m^2)) = \frac{1}{a} \left[ \frac{2}{3} (m - m_1 - m_2) \right]^{3/2} \left( \frac{m_1 m_2}{m_1 + m_2} \right)^{1/2} \left( 1 + O\left( \frac{m - m_1 - m_2}{m} \right) \right)$$
(71)

and for the third, intermediate case

$$K(l(m^2)) = \frac{1}{\pi a} (m - m_2)^2 \left( 1 + O\left(\left(\frac{m_1}{m - m_2}\right)^{3/2}, \frac{m - m_2}{m_2}\right) \right).$$
(72)

Note the doubling of the slope in the intermediate case as compared with the first one. To get a feeling of these formulas we can express the strange quark mass  $m_s$  through meson masses. From (63)

$$K(l(m_{\rho L}^2)) = K(l(m_{K^*L}^2)), \tag{73}$$

where  $m_{\rho L}$  and  $m_{K^*L}$  are masses of the mesons lying on the leading  $\rho$ - and  $K^*$ -trajectories with the same spin L. Neglecting the u- and d-quark masses we have

$$\frac{m_s}{m_{K^*L}} = \frac{1}{\pi} Z_L^{2/3} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{10} Z_L^{2/3} + \frac{1}{18\pi} Z_L + O(Z_L^{4/3}) \right)$$
(74)

$$Z_L = \frac{3\pi}{4} \left( 1 - \frac{m_{\rho L}^2}{m_{K^* L}^2} \right).$$

For L = 1 ( $m_{\rho} = 768$  MeV,  $m_{K^*} = 892$  MeV), we get  $m_s = 218$  MeV. For L = 2 ( $m_{a_2} = 1318$  MeV,  $m_{K_2^*} = 1425$  MeV), we get  $m_s = 234$ MeV. For L = 3 ( $m_{\rho_3} = 1690$  MeV,  $m_{K_3^*} = 1780$  MeV), we get  $m_s = 223$ MeV. We conclude that  $m_s = (225 \pm 5)$ MeV is a good determination of the strange quark mass.

The comparison of simplified formula (70), obtained in a different way, with experiment has been made in [6].

#### 6. Rotator with one spin

The Lagrangian of a rotator with one spin 1/2 ("squark-quark meson") is

$$\mathcal{L} = -(-\dot{n}^2)^{1/2} F(l, u) + \mathcal{L}_1, \tag{75}$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_1$  is given by eq.(16) and

$$F(l, u) = F^{0}(l) + \frac{i}{2}F_{ab}(l)u^{a}u^{b} + + (iF_{a}(l)u^{a} + \frac{1}{3!}F_{abc}u^{a}u^{b}u^{c})\lambda,$$
(76)

where a, b, c = 0, 1, 3, 5 (see eqs. (39-43)). The constraint function  $\varphi_3$  is given by the expression

$$\varphi_3 = \sqrt{-J^2} - K^0 + \frac{i}{2} V_{ab} c^a c^b + (iF_a c^a + \frac{1}{3!} V_{abc} c^a c^b c^c) \lambda, \tag{77}$$

where  $J_{\mu}$  is the total spin of the rotator,

$$K^{0} = lF^{0} - F^{0}, \quad F_{l}^{0}(l) = \sqrt{p^{2}}$$
(78)

$$V_{ab} = F_{ab} - \epsilon_{oab5}, \quad V_{abc} = F_{abc} + F_{l[ab}F_{c]l}(F_{ll}^{0})^{-1}$$
(79)

$$c^{0} = \frac{p\xi}{\sqrt{p^{2}}}, \quad c^{1} = \frac{\pi_{1}\xi}{\sqrt{-\pi_{1}^{2}}}, \quad c^{3} = n\xi, \quad c^{5} = \xi^{5},$$
 (80)

$$\pi_1^{\mu} = \left(g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{p^{\mu}p^{\nu}}{p^2} - \frac{q_p^{\mu}q_p^{\nu}}{q_p^2}\right)\pi_{\nu}, \quad q_p = q - \frac{(qp)p}{p^2}.$$
(81)

The conservation of the spin constraint

$$\varphi_4 = iF_a c^a + \frac{1}{3!} V_{abc} c^a c^b c^c \tag{82}$$

leads to the conditions

$$F^a F_a = 0, \quad F^a V_{ab} = 0, \quad F^a V_{abc} = 0,$$
 (83)

or

$$V_{ab} = \epsilon_{abcd} F^c X^d, \quad V_{abc} = \epsilon_{abcd} F^d Y, \tag{84}$$

where

$$F^a = g^{ab} F_b, (85)$$

and  $g^{ab}$  is diagonal with  $-g^{00} = g^{11} = g^{33} = g^{55} = -1$ . The consistency of quantization (non-zero solution of the generalized Dirac equation corresponding to (82)) imposes further limitations on the interaction and for the leading Regge trajectory we have the same formula as for the spinless rotator with the substitution J for L.

### Conclusion

We see that the simple string model can explain the main meson states including their dependence on the quark masses and spins. It seems worthwhile to explore this model further and try to consider string vibrations to account for daughter meson states and to investigate electroweak quark interactions inside a rotator to describe electroweak meson formfactors [7].

### References

- [1] V.I.Borodulin et al., Theor.Math.Phys. 65 (1985) 119 (in Russian).
- [2] J.L.Martin. Proc.Roy.Soc. A251 (1959) 536.
- [3] F.A.Berezin, M.S.Marinov, Annals of Physics. 104 (1977) 336.
- [4] P.Dirac, Lectures on Quantum Mechanics, Moscow, 1968.
- [5] L.Brink et al, Phys.Letters 64B (1976) 435.
- [6] B.M.Barbashov, Proc. of the Conference "Strong interactions at Long Distances", Ed.L.Jenkovsky, Hadronic Press, 1995, p.328.
- [7] Nikitin I.N. Yad.Fizika, 56 (1993) 230.

Received February 25, 1997

Л.Д.Соловьев Адроны и струны.

\_\_\_\_

Оригинал-макет подготовлен с помощью системы ІАТ<sub>Е</sub>Х. Редактор Е.Н.Горина. Технический редактор Н.В.Орлова.

Подписано к печати 27.02.97. Формат 60 × 84/8. Офсетная печать. Печ.л. 1.37 Уч.-изд.л. 1,05. Тираж 250. Заказ 943. Индекс 3649. ЛР №020498 17.04.97.

ГНЦ РФ Институт физики высоких энергий 142284, Протвино Московской обл.

Индекс 3649

—

 $\Pi P E \Pi P И H T 97-5,$   $И \Phi B Э,$  1997